

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)	
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0112638 DATE: <u>12/21/2006</u> AF	RRIVE: <u>1:00 PM</u> DEPART: <u>1:30 PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CITY FURNITURE		
FACILITY LOCATION: 6701 North Hiatus Road		
TAMARAC 33321		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: RICK HASSLINGER	PHONE: (954)597-2200	
CONTACT NAME: Mike Jaroszyk	PHONE:	
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLEME	NT PERIOD: 4/16/2004 / 4/16/2009	
	(effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	I only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 6	2-210.300, F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
 Does the facility operate any emissions units other than which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criter. 		
have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.0	40, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☒ No	
2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records	to document the VOC content of the coatings	
and the quantity of the coatings used?		
of at least five years?		
4. Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs/da5. Does the amount of coatings used, include solvents and		
6 1 0	Yes No	
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE R (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	EQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VO	C Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT)	
emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A	.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)	
2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☒No		

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE R	REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
cycles?2) recycling cleaning solvents?	reducing VOC emissions by: fective application with a minimum of overspray? ve coating? yes No exacterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)? extra spillage? Yes No extra spillage?
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?	Tyes No with replacement?
Elizabeth F. Susky	12/21/2006
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	12/21/2007
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
facility operates one spay booth. The booth was in good condiavailable. Previous staff for City Furniture had left the compart AQD staff met with Mike Jaroszyk (Senior facilities Mgr.) and that they would be receiving a Waring Notice for not having the individuals on what was required. Mr. Greenberg did have MS could find the VOC information.	21/2006, AQD staff observed operations at City Furniture. The ition, however the staff did not have the appropriate VOC records my and had not informed the current staff on the permit conditions. It defines the propriate records. AQD staff than proceeded to educate both SDS sheets available and AQD staff helped him ascertain where he is over what usages the facility was able to compile and to help the